

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,502	11/10/2003	Roger S. Wallace	RSW-001-A	7227	
32226 75	90 02/17/2005	EXAMINER			
	MICHIGAN PATENT L	SWARTHOU	SWARTHOUT, BRENT		
801 S.GARFIELD AVE., #142 TRAVERSE CITY, MI 49686			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2636		
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					, K			
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	(A)			
Office Action Summary		10/70	6,502	WALLACE ET AI				
		Exami	iner	Art Unit				
		l l	A Swarthout	2636				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision: siX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply al y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi nd will expire SIX (6) MO e application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1) 🔲 🛭 F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
		2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)□ \$	—							
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the algorithm above claim(s) is/accclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from						
Applicatio	on Papers							
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)∐ T	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
A	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•			• •			
	he oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	a Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have to documents have to of the priority documental Bureau (PCT f	peen received. peen received in A iments have beer Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s	•							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	OTO 048)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-12-04</u> .			nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

1. Claims 1-11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 1 and 2 and claim 19, line 2 "such as" is indefinite.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 1-6 and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Task in view of Pieroway et al.

Task discloses a runway lighting fixture for installation at an aircraft landing site comprising visible light source 40 and non-visible light source 42/22 selectively activated by switch 54 for covert operation, except for specifically stating that the installation is permanent.

Pieroway discloses a similar runway lighting fixture system, whereby the lighting fixtures 14 are "permanently" connected to an AC power source (Figs. 1b and 1c).

It would have been obvious to utilize an AC source for permanent installation of a combined visual/non-visible lighting system as disclosed by Task, in order to prevent continuous replacement of power source when a lighting system would be in one place for a substantial period of time.

Regarding claim 2, housing 28 of Task is of a type normally used for incandescent lighting, but is modified to include filter 22 to give out IR.

Regarding claim 3, the addition of filter 22 to replace element 20 effectively replaces visual light with non-visible light.

Regarding claim 5, Task discloses use of two windows 20/22.

Regarding claim 6, Pieroway discloses attaching fixture 15 to some type of base including power attachments via posts 108/110 (Fig. 5, col. 6, line 29).

Regarding claim 10, Task and Pieroway teach use of battery power in fixtures.

Regarding claim 11, Task teaches use of manual actuator 54 (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 13,14 and 16-18, the fixtures all appear similar, and placing filter 22 into fixture in Task would have been equivalent to replacing a non-covert light.

3. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Task in view of Pieroway et al. in view of Chen et al.

Chen discloses desirability in an airport lighting system of embedding a light base in the form of a can (Fig. 1) in the ground to support a light fixture above ground.

It would have been obvious to use a ground support base as suggested by Chen in conjunction with a lighting system as disclosed by Task and Pieroway, in Application/Control Number: 10/706,502

Art Unit: 2636

order to avoid damage to the main portion of the fixture due to aircraft strikes, thus allowing for inexpensive and quick replacement of damaged parts.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wilhem, Schmidt, Bray, Walleston and Norman disclose aircraft/airport lighting systems.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A Swarthout whose telephone number is 571-272-2979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass, can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brent A Swarthout Examiner Art Unit 2636

> BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4